arXiv:1503.00383v1 [math.SG] 2 Mar 2015

AUTOMORPHISMS OF LIOUVILLE STRUCTURES

P.L. ROBINSON

Abstract. By a *Liouville structure* on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) we mean a choice of symplectic potential: that is, a choice of one-form θ on M such that $\mathrm{d}\theta = \omega$. We determine precisely all the automorphisms of a Liouville structure in case (M, ω) is a symplectic vector space and θ differs from its canonical symplectic potential by the differential of a homogeneous monomial.

0. Introduction

A *Liouville form* on the smooth manifold M is a one-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ of which the exterior derivative $\omega := \mathrm{d}\theta$ is nonsingular at each point; thus, (M, ω) is an exact symplectic manifold for which θ is a preferred symplectic potential. The corresponding *Liouville field* on M is the unique vector field $\zeta \in \mathrm{Vec}(M)$ with contraction $\zeta \lrcorner\, \omega = \theta$; the 'magic' Cartan formula shows that $L_\zeta \omega = \omega$ and the corresponding (Liouville) flow ϕ of ζ satisfies $\phi_t^* \omega = e^t \omega$. We may (indeed, shall) regard the symplectic manifold (M, ω) as fixed, in which case the Liouville structure is determined by the Liouville field ζ and the Liouville form $\theta = \zeta \lrcorner\, \omega$ equally. By an automorphism of (M, θ) we mean a diffeomorphism $g : M \to M$ such that $g^*\theta = \theta$; the group of all such automorphisms will be denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}(M, \theta)$. Naturally, automorphisms of (M, θ) preserve not only θ and ω but also ζ and its flow.

As a special case, we consider the symplectic manifold (M, ω) that arises from a symplectic vector space (V, Ω): thus, M is V as a manifold, so that V is canonically isomorphic to each of its tangent spaces T_zV via $V \to T_zV : v \mapsto v_z$ with $v_z\psi := \psi_z'(v) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\psi(z + tv)|_{t=0}$ for each smooth real function ψ on V; also, ω is Ω transported via these canonical isomorphisms, so that $\omega_z(x_z, y_z) = \Omega(x, y)$ whenever $x, y, z \in V$. We denote by $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ the linear symplectic group comprising all linear automorphisms g of V such that $\Omega(gx, gy) = \Omega(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in V$ and by $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega)$ the symplectomorphism group comprising all diffeomorphisms g of V such that $g^*\omega = \omega$; of course, $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega)$.

In this special case, there exists a canonical Liouville structure.

Theorem 0.1. *(V, ω) carries a unique symplectic potential θ^0 that is invariant under the linear symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$: explicitly, if $z \in V$ and $v \in V$ then*

$$\theta_z^0(v_z) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(z, v).$$

Proof. Verification that θ^0 so defined is $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$-invariant and satisfies $\mathrm{d}\theta^0 = \omega$ is an elementary exercise. An arbitrary symplectic potential θ for ω differs from θ^0 by a form that is closed and therefore exact: say $\theta = \theta^0 + \mathrm{d}\psi$ for some $\psi : V \to \mathbb{R}$. Each $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ preserves $\mathrm{d}\psi = \theta - \theta^0$ so that $\mathrm{d}(\psi \circ g) = \mathrm{d}(g^*\psi) = g^*(\mathrm{d}\psi) = \mathrm{d}\psi$ and therefore $\psi \circ g - \psi$ is constant, with value 0 as g fixes the origin; the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ on $V \setminus \{0\}$ being transitive, it follows that ψ is constant and therefore that $\theta = \theta^0$.

□

In what follows, we shall routinely suppress the superscript 0 and write simply θ for this canonical Liouville form. The corresponding Liouville field ζ is precisely one-half the Euler field: if $z \in V$ then its value at z is $\frac{1}{2}z$ made tangent at z so that $\zeta_z = (\frac{1}{2}z)_z$; its (Liouville) flow is given by $\phi_t(z) = e^{\frac{1}{2}t}z$. In terms of symplectic coordinates $(p_1, \dots, p_m, q_1, \dots, q_m)$ this canonical Liouville structure is familiar:

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(p_i \mathrm{d}q_i - q_i \mathrm{d}p_i),$$

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}).$$

This canonical Liouville form is better than invariant under $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$: its invariance under a smooth map $g : V \to V$ (not assumed to be a diffeomorphism) forces such g to lie in $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $g : V \to V$ be a smooth map. If $g^*\theta = \theta$ then $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$.*

Proof. The smooth map g preserves the symplectic form, for $g^*\omega = g^*\mathrm{d}\theta = \mathrm{d}g^*\theta = \mathrm{d}\theta = \omega$; accordingly, each derivative $g'_z : V \to V$ lies in $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$. If also $v \in V$ then

$$\theta_z(v_z) = (g^*\theta)_z(v_z) = \theta_{g(z)}(g_*(v_z)) = \theta_{g(z)}((g'_z v)_{g(z)})$$

thus (after doubling)

$$\Omega(z, v) = \Omega(g(z), g'_z v) = \Omega((g'_z)^{-1}g(z), v)$$

so nonsingularity of Ω yields

$$z = (g'_z)^{-1}g(z)$$

or

$$g'_z(z) = g(z).$$

This implies that g is homogeneous of degree one, preserving the Liouville flow:

$$g(e^{\frac{1}{2}t}z) = e^{\frac{1}{2}t}g(z)$$

from which (as $t \to -\infty$) we deduce that $g(0) = 0$. Finally, as g is differentiable at 0,

$$g'_0(z) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{g(sz) - g(0)}{s} = g(z)$$

whence $g = g'_0 \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$. $\square$

We may extract from Theorem 0.1 and Theorem 0.2 the following complete description of the automorphism group of the canonical (V, θ).

Theorem 0.3. $\boxed{\mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta) = \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)}$

Our aim in this paper is to determine the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta + \mathrm{d}\psi)$ for a class of elementary functions ψ; recall that each Liouville form for (V, ω) has the form $\theta + \mathrm{d}\psi$ for some $\psi : V \to \mathbb{R}$. To be explicit, fix $a \in V$ and fix a positive integer n: a homogeneous monomial $\psi : V \to \mathbb{R}$ is then defined by the rule

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2n}\Omega(a, z)^n$$

for all $z \in V$. We shall precisely determine $\mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta + \mathrm{d}\psi)$ for each point a and each degree n; the cases $n = 1$, $n = 2$ and $n \geqslant 3$ will be handled separately. We round off our account with a complete determination of the isomorphisms between these Liouville structures.

1. Linear Monomials

Fix $a \in V$ and define $\psi^a : V \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi^a(z) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(a, z).$$

The exterior derivative of ψ^a is given by

$$\mathrm{d}\psi^a_z(v_z) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(a, v)$$

whence the Liouville form $\theta^a = \theta + \mathrm{d}\psi^a$ is given by

$$\theta^a_z(v_z) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(z + a, v)$$

and the corresponding Liouville field ζ^a by

$$\zeta^a_z = [\frac{1}{2}(z + a)]_z$$

with Liouville flow

$$\phi^a_t(z) = e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\{z + a\} - a.$$

Now, let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta^a)$. As g also preserves ζ^a and its Liouville flow, it follows that

$$g(e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\{z + a\} - a) = e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\{g(z) + a\} - a$$

or with $s = e^{\frac{1}{2}t}$

$$g(s(z + a) - a) = s(g(z) + a) - a.$$

Let $s \to 0$ to deduce that g fixes $-a$:

$$g(-a) = -a.$$

Rearrange to obtain

$$g(z) + a = \frac{1}{s}\{g(s(z + a) - a) - g(-a)\}$$

and let $s \to 0$ once more to deduce that

$$g(z) + a = g'_{-a}(z + a)$$

where $g'_{-a} \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ because g preserves ω. We conclude that each $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta^a)$ has the form

$$g(z) = \gamma(z + a) - a$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$; conversely, each $g : V \to V$ having this form is readily verified to be an automorphism of (V, θ^a). We summarize these findings as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *If $a \in V$ and $\psi^a : V \to \mathbb{R} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\Omega(a, z)$ then $\mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta^a)$ comprises precisely all $g : V \to V$ having the form*

$$g(z) = \gamma(z + a) - a$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$.

$\square$

Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta^a)$ comprises precisely all the affine symplectic automorphisms of (V, Ω) that fix $-a$.

2. Quadratic Monomials

Fix $a \in V$ and define $\psi^a : V \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi^a(z) = \frac{1}{4}\Omega(a, z)^2.$$

The exterior derivative of ψ^a is given by

$$\mathrm{d}\psi^a_z(v_z) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(a, z)\Omega(a, v)$$

whence the Liouville form $\theta^a = \theta + \mathrm{d}\psi^a$ is given by

$$\theta^a_z(v_z) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(z + \Omega(a, z)a, v)$$

and the corresponding Liouville field ζ^a by

$$\zeta^a_z = [\frac{1}{2}(z + \Omega(a, z)a)]_z$$

with Liouville flow

$$\phi^a_t(z) = e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\{z + \frac{1}{2}t\Omega(a, z)a\}.$$

Now, let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta^a)$. As g preserves the time $2t$ Liouville flow,

$$g(e^t\{z + t\Omega(a, z)a\}) = e^t\{g(z) + t\Omega(a, g(z))a\}$$

whence letting $t \to -\infty$ shows that g fixes 0:

$$g(0) = 0.$$

Differentiate along the flow and divide by e^t to obtain

$$g'_{z_t}(z + (1 + t)\Omega(a, z)a) = g(z) + (1 + t)\Omega(a, g(z))a$$

or

$$g(z) - g'_{z_t}(z) = (1 + t)\{\Omega(a, z)g'_{z_t}(a) - \Omega(a, g(z))a\}$$

where $z_t = e^t\{z + t\Omega(a, z)a\}$. Let $t \to -\infty$ and note that $z_t \to 0$ so $g'_{z_t} \to g'_0$; now

$$g(z) - g'_{z_t}(z) \to g(z) - g'_0(z)$$

and

$$\Omega(a, z)g'_{z_t}(a) - \Omega(a, g(z))a \to \Omega(a, z)g'_0(a) - \Omega(a, g(z))a$$

whence the presence of $1 + t$ in the last equation above forces

$$\Omega(a, z)g'_0(a) = \Omega(a, g(z))a$$

and therefore

$$g(z) - g'_{z_t}(z) = (1 + t)\Omega(a, z)\{g'_{z_t}(z) - g'_0(z)\}.$$

The derivative g' is locally Lipschitz and $z_t = e^t\{z + t\Omega(a, z)a\}$ converges to 0 exponentially fast, so $g'_{z_t}(z) - g'_0(z)$ converges to 0 exponentially fast, dominating the factor $1 + t$; thus

$$g(z) = g'_0(z)$$

and so $g = g'_0 \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$. Further, $\Omega(a, z)g'_0(a) = \Omega(a, g(z))a$ shows that $g(a) = g'_0(a) = \lambda a$ for some real λ; now

$$\Omega(a, z)\lambda = \Omega(a, g(z)) = \Omega(g^{-1}(a), z) = \Omega(\lambda^{-1}a, z) = \lambda^{-1}\Omega(a, z)$$

thus $\lambda^2 = 1$ and so $g(a) = \pm a$. Conversely, it is readily verified that each element of $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ sending a to $\pm a$ is an automorphism of (V, θ^a). The following is a summary of our findings.

Theorem 2.1. *If* $a \in V$ *and* $\psi^a : V \to \mathbb{R} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{4}\Omega(a, z)^2$ *then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta^a) = \{g \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega) : g(a) = \pm a\}.$$

□

Note that the sign ambiguity is to be expected, for $\psi^a = \psi^{-a}$ here. Our analysis of $\theta + \mathrm{d}\psi^a$ applies to $\theta - \mathrm{d}\psi^a$ in parallel fashion, yielding the same automorphisms.

3. Higher-degree Monomials

Let n be a positive integer. Fix $a \in V$ and define $\psi^a : V \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi^a(z) = \frac{1}{2(n+2)}\Omega(a, z)^{n+2}.$$

The exterior derivative of ψ^a is given by

$$\mathrm{d}\psi^a_z(v_z) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(a, z)^{n+1}\Omega(a, v)$$

whence the Liouville form $\theta^a = \theta + \mathrm{d}\psi^a$ is given by

$$\theta^a_z(v_z) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(z + \Omega(a, z)^{n+1}a, v)$$

and the corresponding Liouville field ζ^a by

$$\zeta^a_z = [\frac{1}{2}(z + \Omega(a, z)^{n+1}a)]_z$$

with Liouville flow

$$\phi^a_t(z) = e^{\frac{1}{2}t}\{z - \frac{1}{n}\Omega(a, z)^{n+1}a\} + \frac{1}{n}e^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)t}\Omega(a, z)^{n+1}a.$$

Our approach to these higher-degree cases is different. We begin by introducing

$$f_a : V \to V : z \mapsto z + \frac{1}{n}\Omega(a, z)^{n+1}a.$$

This is a diffeomorphism: indeed, its inverse maps z to $z - \frac{1}{n}\Omega(a, z)^{n+1}a$. Further, we claim that f_a pulls θ^a back to the canonical $\theta = \theta^0$:

$$f_a^*\theta^a = \theta.$$

To see this, note that

$$(f_a)'_z(v) = v + \frac{n+1}{n}\Omega(a, z)^n\Omega(a, v)a$$

whereupon

$$(f_a^*\theta^a)_z(v_z) = (\theta^a)_{f_a(z)}((f_a)'_z(v)) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(f_a(z), (f_a)'_z(v)) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(z, v) = \theta_z(v_z)$$

follows by substitution and cancellation. As a consequence, the map f_a is a symplectomorphism: $f_a \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega)$.

Theorem 3.1. *If* $a \in V$ *and* $\psi^a : V \to \mathbb{R} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{2(n+2)}\Omega(a, z)^{n+2}$ *then*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta^a) = \{f_a \circ \gamma \circ f_a^{-1} : \gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)\}.$$

Proof. The polynomial f_a carries us neatly back to basics: the self-map g of V pulls back θ^a to itself precisely when $f_a^{-1} \circ g \circ f_a$ pulls back $f_a^*\theta^a = \theta$ to itself precisely when $f_a^{-1} \circ g \circ f_a$ lies in $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ on account of Theorem 0.3. □

It is perhaps worth remarking that this was not our original proof for Theorem 3.1. We originally considered a cubic monomial, assuming g to preserve the time $2t$ Liouville flow:

$$g(e^t\{z - \Omega(a, z)^2a\} + e^{2t}\Omega(a, z)^2a) = e^t\{g(z) - \Omega(a, g(z))^2a\} + e^{2t}\Omega(a, g(z))^2a.$$

Application of $e^{-t}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}$ results in

$$g'_{z_t}(z - \Omega(a, z)^2a + 2e^t\Omega(a, z)^2a) = g(z) - \Omega(a, g(z))^2a + 2e^t\Omega(a, g(z))^2a$$

where now $z_t = e^t\{z - \Omega(a, z)^2a\} + e^{2t}\Omega(a, z)^2a$. Rearrangement of the limit as $t \to -\infty$ yields

$$g(z) = g'_0(z - \Omega(a, z)^2a) + \Omega(a, g(z))^2a$$

from which the observation

$$\Omega(a, g(z)) = \Omega(a, g_0'(z - \Omega(a, z)^2 a))$$

produces

$$g(z) = g_0'(z - \Omega(a, z)^2 a) + \Omega(a, g_0'(z - \Omega(a, z)^2 a))^2 a.$$

Of course, this quartic g is exactly the composite $f_a \circ g_0' \circ f_a^{-1}$ in the present case.

Among the features that distinguish these higher degrees from the quadratic and the linear is the following. Recall that in Theorem 1.1 each automorphism of (V, θ^a) fixes the point $-a$; recall also that in Theorem 2.1 each automorphism of (V, θ^a) fixes the set $\{a, -a\}$. By contrast, in Theorem 3.1 the group $\mathrm{Aut}(V, \theta^a)$ acts transitively on $V \setminus \{0\}$ because $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ itself does so.

4. ISOMORPHISMS

The determination of all isomorphisms between these Liouville structures can be effected by essentially the same arguments as those employed for the automorphisms; indeed, the subject could have been presented from the viewpoint of isomorphisms. When $a, b \in V$ we shall write simply $\mathrm{Iso}(V^a, V^b)$ for the set of all isomorphisms from (V, θ^a) to (V, θ^b): that is, the set of all $g : V \to V$ such that $g^*\theta^b = \theta^a$.

First, consider the *linear* case surrounding Theorem 1.1. When $a \in V$ let

$$\tau_a : V \to V : z \mapsto z + a$$

denote translation by a; a routine calculation establishes the identity

$$\tau_a^*\theta = \theta^a.$$

In this linear case, if also $b \in V$ then

$$\mathrm{Iso}(V^a, V^b) = \{\tau_b^{-1} \circ \gamma \circ \tau_a : \gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)\}.$$

This may of course be established by the line of argument used for Theorem 1.1. It also succumbs to the line used for Theorem 3.1: indeed, the self-map g of V satisfies $g^*\theta^b = \theta^a$ precisely when $g^*\tau_b^*\theta = \tau_a^*\theta$ precisely when $(\tau_b \circ g \circ \tau_a^{-1})^*\theta = \theta$ precisely when $\tau_b \circ g \circ \tau_a^{-1} \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ by Theorem 0.3. In particular, $\tau_b^{-1} \circ \tau_a$ is a distinguished isomorphism from (V, θ^a) to (V, θ^b) and these Liouville structures are all isomorphic to each other.

Secondly, consider the *quadratic* case surrounding Theorem 2.1. In this case, if $a, b \in V$ then

$$\mathrm{Iso}(V^a, V^b) = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega) : \gamma(a) = \pm b\}.$$

This may be established essentially as was Theorem 2.1: start from preservation of the respective time $2t$ Liouville flows, thus

$$g(e^t\{z + t\Omega(a, z)a\}) = e^t\{g(z) + t\Omega(b, g(z))b\};$$

then apply $e^{-t}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}$ and pass to the limit as $t \to -\infty$. The action of $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)$ on $V \setminus \{0\}$ being transitive, it follows that the (quadratic) Liouville structures (V, θ^a) with a nonzero are all isomorphic to each other; of course, they are *not* isomorphic to the canonical Liouville structure (V, θ^0). Similar comments apply to the Liouville structures $(V, \theta - \mathrm{d}\psi^a)$ in this quadratic case, with the further remark that if a is nonzero then $(V, \theta - \mathrm{d}\psi^a)$ and $(V, \theta + \mathrm{d}\psi^a)$ are *not* isomorphic; an isomorphism between them would lead not to the equation $\lambda^2 = 1$ that arose in the proof of Theorem 2.1 but rather to the equation $\lambda^2 = -1$ which has no real solution.

Lastly, consider the *higher* cases surrounding Theorem 3.1. In these cases, if $a, b \in V$ then

$$\mathrm{Iso}(V^a, V^b) = \{f_b \circ \gamma \circ f_a^{-1} : \gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \Omega)\}$$

as follows easily by the line of argument for Theorem 3.1 itself. In particular, $f_b \circ f_a^{-1}$ is a distinguished isomorphism from (V, θ^a) to (V, θ^b) and these higher Liouville structures are all isomorphic to each other.

Thus, the homogeneous monomial Liouville structures on (V, ω) that we have considered fall into two isomorphism classes. The one comprises all the Liouville structures (V, θ^a) associated to quadratic ψ^a as $a \in V$ runs over the nonzero vectors. The other comprises all the Liouville structures (V, θ^a) associated to linear, cubic and higher-degree monomials as a runs over the whole of V; this class contains the canonical Liouville structure (V, θ^0) which is associated to $\psi^0 = 0$ in any degree. This list ignores the quadratic structures $\{(V, \theta - \mathrm{d}\psi^a) : 0 \neq a \in V\}$ which received passing mention; these constitute a separate isomorphism class.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is happy to acknowledge Mike Spivak: his Clever Observation on page 399 of [1] saw service in the proof of Theorem 0.2 and elsewhere.

REFERENCE

[1] M. Spivak, A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Volume Two. Publish or Perish (1979).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE FL 32611 USA
E-mail address: `paulr@ufl.edu`